IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE V.RAMKUMAR

TUESDAY, THE 19TH DECEMBER 2006 / 28TH AGRAHAYANA 1928

RP.No. 1049 of 2006()

AGAINST THE JUDGEMENT/ORDER IN RSA.1009/2006

.....

REVIEW PETITIONERS/APPELLANTS/DEFENDANTS

---

1. S/S.SESHADRI, AGED 47 YEARS,
   S/O.MEENAKSHI AMMA,
   KASABA AMSOM DESOM, KOZHIKODE.

2. S.S.KRISHNAN, AGED 45 YEARS,
   S/O.MEENAKSHI AMMA,
   KASABA AMSOM DESOM, KOZHIKODE.

3. S.S.MAHADEVAN, AGED 45 YEARS,
   S/O.MEENAKSHI AMMA,
   KASABA AMSOM DESOM, KOZHIKODE.

4. S.S.SARASWATHI, AGED 48 YEARS,
   D/O.MEENAKSHI AMMA,
   KASABA AMSOM DESOM, KOZHIKODE.

5. S.S.RAJESWARI, AGED 46 YEARS,
   D/O.MEENAKSHI AMMA,
   KASABA AMSOM DESOM, KOZHIKODE.

6. ALAMELU, AGED 40 YEARS,
   W/O.S.S.NARAYANAN,
   KASABA AMSOM DESOM, KOZHIKODE.

7. LAKHU @ LAKSHMI, AGED 25 YEARS,
   D/O.S.S.NARAYANAN,
   KASABA AMSOM DESOM, KOZHIKODE.

8. VIDHYA, AGED 23 YEARS,
   D/O.S.S.NARAYANAN,
   KASABA AMSOM DESOM, KOZHIKODE.

9. SREERAM, AGED 52 YEARS,
   S/O.MEENAKSHI AMMA,
   KASABA AMSOM DESOM, KOZHIKODE.

10. VASANTHA SUBRAMANYAN, AGED 56 YEARS,
D/O.PARAMESWARA IYYER,
KASABA AMSOM DESOM, KOZHIKODE.

11. SANTHOSH SUBRAMANYAN,
AGED 30 YEARS,
S/O.LATE S.S.MANI @ SUBRAMANYAN,
KASABA AMSOM DESOM, KOZHIKODE.

12. S.S.THILAMBAL, AGED 44 YEARS,
D/O.MEENAKSHI AMMA,
KASABA AMSOM DESOM, KOZHIKODE.

13. MEENAKSHI @ SWAPNA,
AGED 38 YEARS,
D/O.S.S.NARAYANAN,
KASABA AMSOM DESOM, KOZHIKODE.

14. HARISH @ KUTTAN, AGED 21 YEARS,
S/O.S.S.NARAYANAN,
KASABA AMSOM DESOM, KOZHIKODE.

BY ADV. SRI.MANJERI SUNDERRAJ
SRI.B.PREMNATH (E)

RESPONDENTS: PLAINTIFF

KANYAKAPARAMESWARI AMMAN KOVIL KSHETHRA
COMMITTEE, REP. BY ITS PRESIDENT V.K.SUKUMARAN,
AGED 47 YEARS, S/O.K.R.VENKITARAMAN,
KASABA AMSOM DESOM, KOZHIKODE.

THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 19/12/2006, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

V. RAMKUMAR, J.

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

Review Petition No. 1049 of 2006
in R.S.A. 1009 of 2006

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

Dated, this the 19th day of December 2006

ORDER

I see no grounds to interfere with the judgment dated 10-11-2006,much less, any error of law apparent on record to entertain this review petition. Faced with this difficulty, the learned counsel for the petitioner prayed for six months' time to surrender vacant possession of the plaint schedule building.

Having regard to the fact that the appellants /petitioners are 14 in number stated to be residing in the plaint schedule building, I am inclined to grant six months' time to the petitioners on condition that they shall file an affidavit before the executing court within two weeks from today undertaking to surrender vacant possession of the building on or before 15-6-2007 and also undertaking to pay the rents due and that they will not induct any strangers in the property.

With the above direction, this R.P. is disposed of.

Sd/-V. RAMKUMAR,
(JUDGE)

/true copy/ P.A. to Judge.

ani.